

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10815306	4/1/04	TAKATA, SHIN-ICHI	CANO:133	
			EXAMINER Stephen M. Brinich	
ROSSI, KIMMS & McDOWELL LLP. P.O. BOX 826		·		
ASHBURN, VA 2014	6-0826		ART UNIT	PAPER
		•	2625	20080214

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/815,306	TAKATA, SHIN-ICHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M. Brinich	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·— ,·	—· s action is non-final.						
,	· —						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers		· ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/07,6/22/07</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/815,306

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 5-6 and claim 18, lines 4-5 recite "a modulation coefficient for each of a plurality of segments obtained by dividing a main scan line". While some subsequent recitations of "the modulation coefficient" are consistent with a modulation coefficient that varies between segments of a scan line (e.g. the recitation at claim 1, lines 11-12 "...for each of the segments, based on the modulation coefficient), others refer to a "the modulation coefficient" for the scan line without specifying which of several "modulation coefficients" are generated for the segments of the scan line (e.g. claim 1, lines 23-24, "a correction device that corrects the modulation coefficient" based on the result of an end-of-line detection).

Application/Control Page 3

Number: 10/815,306

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-20, insofar as they are understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1 & 18 (and dependent claims 2-17 & 19-20), insofar as they are understood, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement for setting a modulation coefficient for each of a plurality of segments into which a main scan line is divided, generating an image clock based on this modulation coefficient, detecting the starting and terminating end of the scan line, detecting a phase difference based on the timing of the line end and the final image clock, and correcting the modulation coefficient based on this phase difference.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Allen et al (each) and Morimoto et al disclose examples of scanning beam modulation and phase control.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,306

Art Unit: 2625

6. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application, entry of papers into this application, or other any inquiries of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control

Number: 10/815,306

Art Unit: 2625

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

Page 5

smb

February 14, 2008